UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KELLY GUERRERO,)
Plaintiff, vs.) Case No.: 2:16-cv-01667-GMN-NJK
vs.	ORDER
VINCENT NEIL WHARTON,)
)
Defendant.)
)
)
)

Pending before the Court is the Motion to Dismiss, (ECF No. 17), filed by Defendant Vincent Neil Wharton ("Defendant"). For the reasons discussed below, the Court **DENIES as moot** Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

Plaintiff Kelly Guerrero ("Plaintiff") originally filed this lawsuit on July 14, 2016. (Compl., ECF No. 1). On October 25, 2016, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's third cause of action for attorney's fees. (ECF No. 17). Plaintiff did not file a response, and the deadline to do so has passed. Instead, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, (ECF No. 18), removing as a cause of action the claim for attorney's fees.

II. DISCUSSION

Local Rule 7-2(d) provides that "[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion for attorney's fees, constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." D. Nev. R. 7-2(d). Although Plaintiff failed to respond to the Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint and removed the cause of action that Defendant sought to dismiss. As such,

1	Plaintiff's Amended Complaint consents to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, thereby rendering
2	the Motion moot.
3	III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, (ECF No. 17), is
5	DENIED as moot.
6	
7	DATED this <u>13</u> day of April, 2017.
8	
9	
10	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	
∠ '	

25